MOLSSI WORKSHOP - ELSI CONFERENCE: "Solving or Circumventing Eigenvalue Problems in Electronic Structure Theory" Richmond, Virginia - 26th August 2018

Recent Advancements in ELPA: Best Practices in Real Applications

Danilo Simoes Brambila and Christian Carbogno



Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Berlin – Germany

MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT



See <u>http://elpa-aeo.mpcdf.mpg.de</u> for a full list of contributors



Electronic Structure Theory Density Functional Theory

::

...



Solid State Physics & Material Science



Thermoelectric Clathrates

A. Bhattacharya, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 236401 (2017).

Thermoelectric Boron Carbides

K. Rasim, *et al.*, *Ang. Chemie* **57**, 6130 (2018).



Code Testing, Benchmarking, and Validation in *Real Applications*



See <u>http://elpa-aeo.mpcdf.mpg.de</u> for a full list of contributors



Scheurer

See <u>http://elpa-aeo.mpcdf.mpg.de</u> for a full list of contributors



Bruno Lang









INPUT

M three-dimensional vectors *R*^{*I*} defining the **position** of the individual nuclei *I* in space

M values *Z*^{*I*} for the charge defining the **chemical species** of the nuclei *I*









A series of different eigenproblems with same <u>B</u> are solved one after another.



A series of different, but similar electronic structure theory problems are solved one after another.



The Potential-Energy Surface (PES) describes the **dependence** of the **energy** *E* on the *M* **nuclear positions** *R*_{*I*}.

The *Potential-Energy Surface (PES)* determines the **dynamics** of the **nuclei**.



The Potential-Energy Surface (PES) describes the **dependence** of the **energy** *E* on the *M* **nuclear positions** *R*_{*I*}.

The *Potential-Energy Surface (PES)* determines the **dynamics** of the **nuclei**.

• Iterative Minimization ("Relaxation"): Find the *minima* of the PES

 \Rightarrow Most probable configuration(s), static properties, stabilities,...



The Potential-Energy Surface (PES) describes the **dependence** of the **energy** *E* on the *M* **nuclear positions** *R*_{*I*}.

The *Potential-Energy Surface (PES)* determines the **dynamics** of the **nuclei**.

• Iterative Minimization ("Relaxation"): Find the *minima* of the PES

• Ab initio Molecular Dynamics: Iteratively integrate the equations of motion

 \Rightarrow Quantitative explore the full Dynamics!



- Transition-State
- Ab initio Mole



Surface (PES) dence of the ear positions R_{I.}

Surface (PES) cs of the nuclei.

nima of the PES

on

H. Shang, et al., New J. Phys., **DOI:**10.1088/1367-2630/aace6d

Paracetamol exists in two different polymorphs: "Same paracetamol molecules, different crystalline order"





H. Shang, et al., New J. Phys., **DOI:**10.1088/1367-2630/aace6d

Paracetamol exists in two different polymorphs: "Same paracetamol molecules, different crystalline order"



H. Shang, et al., New J. Phys. 20, 073040 (2018).

Raman Spectra describing the response to electric fields typically used to differentiate between two polymorphs.



H. Shang, et al., New J. Physics, **20**, 073040 (2018). **Exp.:** J. B. Nanubolu and J. C. Burley. *Mol. Pharm.*, **9**,1544 (2012).



Example B: Thermal-Barrier Coatings



CFM 56-7 airplane engine

Suppressing heat transport in **thermal barrier coatings** has driven the fuel-efficiency increase over the last 30 years. D. R. Clarke & C. G. Levi, *Ann. Rev. Mat. Res.*, **33**, 383 (2003).

THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF ZIRCONIA

C. Carbogno, R. Ramprasad, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 175901 (2017).



Experiment:

J.-F. Bisson et al., J.Am. Cer. Soc. 83, 1993 (2000).
G. E. Youngblood et al., J.Am. Cer. Soc. 71, 255 (1988).
S. Raghavan et al., Scripta Materialia 39, 1119 (1998).

Semi-empirical MD:

P. K. Schelling, and S. R. Phillpot, J. Am. Cer. Soc. **84**, 2997 (2001).

THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF ZIRCONIA

C. Carbogno, R. Ramprasad, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 175901 (2017).



Experiment:

J.-F. Bisson et al., J.Am. Cer. Soc. 83, 1993 (2000).
G. E. Youngblood et al., J.Am. Cer. Soc. 71, 255 (1988).
S. Raghavan et al., Scripta Materialia 39, 1119 (1998).

Semi-empirical MD:

P. K. Schelling, and S. R. Phillpot, J. Am. Cer. Soc. **84**, 2997 (2001).





This Talk: How can this be exploited within ELPA to accelerate applications.

Overview:

Geometry Updates



These are **several** self-concistency cycles.

What can we do across many electronic structure theory calculations?

Hypothesis: For similar problems, similar numerical settings and code paths should be most efficient.

Typical Approach: Manually test different settings or choose (possibly automatically) by educated guess.

Hypothesis: For similar problems, similar numerical settings and code paths should be most efficient.

Typical Approach: Manually test different settings or choose (possibly automatically) by educated guess.

ELPA's Autotuning Solution: Explicitly test different (combination of) settings and then choose the optimal one. Possible settings include:



Hypothesis: For similar problems, similar numerical settings and code paths should be most efficient.

Typical Approach: Manually test different settings or choose (possibly automatically) by educated guess.

ELPA's Autotuning Solution: Explicitly test different (combination of) settings and then choose the optimal one. Possible settings include:



This requires ELPA to *"remember"* settings and timings from earlier calls across one run.

Necessary Technology: API Redefinition

Old ELPA API



from elsi_elpa.f90 in ELSI

- Traditional Scalapack-esque interface
- Stable and Here-to-Stay: All pre-2017 features are and will be accessible also in future
- This includes all internal accelerations and kernels.
- New (optional) post-2017 features not accessible via the old API

New ELPA API

- Object-oriented
- Get/Set API
- Mandatory and Optional Arguments are set separately
- Actual ELPA call has simple syntax
- ELPA Object survives iterations and cycles

```
Create ELPA object
elpa => elpa_allocate()
! Set MANDATORY parameters decribing the matrix and it's MPI distribution
call elpa%set("na", na, success)
call elpa%set("nev", nev, success)
call elpa%set("local_nrows", na_rows, success)
call elpa%set("local_ncols", na_cols, success)
call elpa%set("nblk", nblk, success)
call elpa%set("mpi_comm_parent", mpi_comm_world, success)
call elpa%set("process_row", my_prow, success)
call elpa%set("process_col", my_pcol, success)
success = elpa%setup()
! Set OPTIONAL run-time options
call elpa%set("solver", elpa_solver_2stage, success)
 Use ELPA
call elpa%eigenvectors(a, ev, z, success)
```

New ELPA API

- Object-oriented
- Get/Set API
- Mandatory and Optional Arguments are set separately
- Actual ELPA call has simple syntax
- ELPA Object survives iterations and cycles

```
Create ELPA object
elpa => elpa_allocate()
! Set MANDATORY parameters decribing the matrix and it's MPI distribution
call elpa%set("na", na, success)
call elpa%set("nev", nev, success)
call elpa%set("local_nrows", na_rows, success)
call elpa%set("local_ncols", na_cols, success)
call elpa%set("nblk", nblk, success)
call elpa%set("mpi_comm_parent", mpi_comm_world, success)
call elpa%set("process_row", my_prow, success)
call elpa%set("process_col", my_pcol, success)
success = elpa%setup()
! Set OPTIONAL run-time options
call elpa%set("solver", elpa_solver_2stage, success)
 Use ELPA
call elpa%eigenvectors(a, ev, z, success)
```



Example: Autotuning

Setup ELPA using the new API

- Usual setup
- Attach Autotuning
- Run your loops -----
- Set optimal settings once identified

```
succes = elpa%setup()
! Autotuning setup
tune_state => elpa%autotune_setup(ELPA_AUTOTUNE_MEDIUM, ELPA_AUTOTUNE_DOMAIN_REAL, error)
! Loop of ELPA calls
do i=1, scf_cycles
! Autotuning still running?
unfinished = elpa%autotune_step(tune_state)
! Use optimal setup once finished
if (.not.(unfinished)) then
    call elpa%autotune_set_best(tune_state)
endif
! ELPA call
call elpa%eigenvectors(a, ev, z, error)
enddo
```
Example: Autotuning

Setup ELPA using the new API

succes = elpa%setup()

- Usual setup
- Attach Autotuning
- Run your loops ----
- Set optimal settings once identified

g ! Autotuning setup tune_state => elpa%autotune_setup(ELPA_AUTOTUNE_MEDIUM, ELPA_AUTOTUNE_DOMAIN_REAL, error) ! Loop of ELPA calls do i=1, scf_cycles ! Autotuning still running? unfinished = elpa%autotune_step(tune_state) ! Use optimal setup once finished if (.not.(unfinished)) then call elpa%autotune_set_best(tune_state) endif ! ELPA call call elpa%eigenvectors(a, ev, z, error) enddo

Example: Autotuning

- Usual setup
- Attach Autotuning
- Run your loops ----
- Set optimal settings once identified

! Setup ELPA using the new API
succes = elpa%setup()

! Autotuning setup tune_state => elpa%autotune_setup(ELPA_AUTOTUNE_MEDIUM, ELPA_AUTOTUNE_DOMAIN_REAL, error)

! Loop of ELPA calls
do i=1, scf_cycles
 ! Autotuning still running?
 unfinished = elpa%autotune_step(tune_state)

! Use optimal setup once finished if (.not.(unfinished)) then call elpa%autotune_set_best(tune_state) endif

! ELPA call call elpa%eigenvectors(a, ev, z, error)

enddo

Manual Settings or Predefined Options

Autotuning can be used during production iterations.

Hypothesis: For similar problems, similar numerical settings and code paths should be most efficient.

Typical Approach: Manually test different settings or choose (possibly automatically) by educated guess.

ELPA's Autotuning Solution: Explicitly test different (combination of) settings and then choose the optimal one. Possible settings include:



Reasonable domain-specific presets such as ELPA_AUTOTUNE_FAST available, more to come...

Autotuning with **ELPA_AUTOTUNE_FAST**

Accumulated Runtimes:



Slight computational overhead observed in the **first couple** of iterations...

Autotuning with **ELPA_AUTOTUNE_FAST**



changes (MD-ready!).

Slight computational overhead observed in the first couple of iterations...



These are **several** self-concistency cycles.

 \Rightarrow (A) Exploit ELPA-Autotuning

for a series of calculations



The Self-Consistency Cycle



Some Remarks:

- Typical number of iterations: 10-100
- Convergence accelerated by mixing schemes
- Convergence/Self-concistency monitored via:
 - Change in density n_i(r)
 - Change in energy E_i(r)
 - Change in forces F_l
 - Change in **eigenenergies** ε_i

The Self-Consistency Cycle



Some Remarks:

- Typical number of iterations: 10-100
- Convergence accelerated by mixing schemes
- Convergence/Self-concistency monitored via:



The Self-Consistency Cycle



Some Remarks:

- Typical number of iterations: 10-100
- Convergence accelerated by mixing schemes
- Convergence/Self-concistency monitored via:



IDEA:

Use mixed precision to reduce computational cost Perform the first self-consistency cycles with cheaper single precision routines.

Mixed Precision Calculations



Mixed Precision Calculations



How far can we go?



Monitoring the convergence of the self-consistency cycle

- Single-Precision routines do not allow to reach convergence.
- "First" few iterations can be performed with single-precision without loss of accuracy.
- Break-down point of single-precision routines system-size-dependent.

How far can we go?



- Single-Precision routines do not allow to reach convergence.
- "First" few iterations can be performed with single-precision without loss of accuracy.
- Break-down point of single-precision routines system-size-dependent.

⇒ Intensive Quantities naturally less affected by system-size dependence.

How far can we go?



⇒ Intensive Quantities naturally less affected by system-size dependence.





Accelerating One Iteration







Danilo Simoes Brambila FHI

(now at some fancy Startup)



Matthias Scheffler FHI





Danilo Simoes Brambila FHI

(now at some fancy Startup)



Matthias Scheffler FHI







Danilo Simoes Brambila FHI

(now at some fancy Startup)



Matthias Scheffler FHI







Volker Blum



Victor Yu





